Exploring the Innovational Potential of Biomimetics for Novel 3D MEMS

ILLE C. GEBESHUBER^{1,2,3,a}, HERBERT STACHELBERGER^{3,b}, BAHRAM AZIZOLLAH GANJI^{1,4,c}, DEE CHANG FU^{1,d}, JUMRIL YUNAS^{1,e} and BURHANUDDIN YEOP MAJLIS^{1,f}

¹Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Institute of Microengineering and Nanoelectronics (IMEN), 43600 UKM, Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia

²Institut für Allgemeine Physik, University of Technology Vienna, Wiedner Hauptstrasse 8-10/134, 1040 Vienna, Austria & AC²T research GmbH, Austrian Center of Competence for Tribology, Viktor Kaplan-Strasse 2, 2700 Wiener Neustadt, Austria

³TU BIONIK, Center of Excellence Bionik / Biomimetics, Institute of Chemical Engineering & University Service-Center for Transmission Electron Microscopy, University of Technology Vienna, Getreidemarkt 9/166, 1060 Wien, Austria

⁴Department of Electrical Engineering, Babol University of Technology, 484 Babol, Iran

^aille.gebeshuber@ukm.my, ^bhstachel@mail.zserv.tuwien.ac.at, ^cbaganji@vlsi.eng.ukm.my, ^dcfdee@vlsi.eng.ukm.my, ^ejumrilyunas@gmail.com, ^fburhan@vlsi.eng.ukm.my

Keywords: biomimetics, bioinspiration, 3D-MEMS, hinges, interlocking devices, springs, click stop mechanism, multifunctional surfaces, pumps, learning from nature, diatoms, emerging technologies, material, form, structure, complexity.

Abstract. A novel way to describe the complexity of biological and engineering approaches depending on the number of different base materials is proposed: Either many materials are used (*material* dominates) or few materials (*form* dominates) or just one material (*structure* dominates). The complexity of the approach (in biology as well as in engineering) increases with decreasing number of base materials. Biomimetics, i.e., technology transfer from biology to engineering, is especially promising in MEMS development because of the material constraints in both fields. The Biomimicry Innovation Method is applied here for the first time to identify naturally nanostructured rigid functional materials, and subsequently analyse their prospect in terms of inspiring MEMS development.

Introduction

In biomimetics, materials, processes and systems in nature are analysed, the underlying principles are extracted and subsequently applied to science and technology [1][2][3]. This approach can result in innovative new technological constructions, processes and developments. Biomimetics can aid MEMS developers to manage the specific requirements in systems or product design, which are even more relevant than for conventional products, especially to create products and processes that are sustainable and perform well (e.g. to overcome stiction), to integrate new functions, to reduce production costs, to save energy, to cut material costs, to redefine and eliminate "waste", to heighten existing product categories, to define new product categories and industries, to drive revenue and to build unique brands [4][5].

Recurrent principles in biological materials and systems are hierarchy [6][7] and multi-functionality. Vincent and co-workers analysed 500 biological phenomena, covering over 270 functions, at different levels of hierarchy [8]. Depending on the extent to which each level of the hierarchy is dependent on its lower levels, adaptation or optimization of the biomaterial is independently possible at each level of hierarchy. Size differences between hierarchy levels tend to be about a factor of ten [9]. A major advantage of hierarchical structuring is that the material can be made multifunctional and that a specific material property, such as fracture toughness, can be improved by optimization at different size levels. A direct consequence is the increase in



adaptability of natural materials. Functions can be modified or enriched by structuring on an additional level of hierarchy. Adaptability increases, therefore, as a function of the number of levels of hierarchy. This is probably why such a wide range of material and structural properties (see Figure 1 for biological SiO₂ structures in glass-making microorganisms) can be provided in nature by such a small range of base materials [9][10].

Materials and Methods

The complexity of biological and engineering approaches depend on the number of different base materials used: Either many materials are used (*material* dominates) or few materials (*form* dominates) or just one material (*structure* dominates). The importance of structures and the complexity of the approach (in biology as well as in engineering) increase inversely with the number of different materials that are or can be used. This can be seen in technology from the macrometer to the nanometer length scale. The Eiffel tower, e.g., which is mainly made from steel, has many levels of structural hierarchy with important structures on every length scale. Also in MEMS and NEMS technology, a limited number of base materials is used (such as Si, SiO₂, Silicon nitride, GaAs, Silicon carbide, diamond, InP, SiGe, ferroelectric materials and polymers), providing a wide range of functional and structural properties. Because of these material constraints biomimetics is especially promising in MEMS development. The Biomimicry Innovation Method (© Biomimicry Guild, Helena, MT, USA 2008) is applied to identify high-potential candidates for inspiring emerging MEMS technologies as well as optimising existing ones.

The experience of one of the authors (ICG) on the boundary between biology and engineering, literature search, talks with experts from biology and the AskNature.org database provided by the Biomimicry Institute are utilized in course of the Biomimicry Innovation Method to exploit the large biodiversity in rainforests and in the water bodies of the world and to find biological inspiration for functions such as click-stop mechanisms, micropumps, energy dissipation and lubrication (Table 1). Functions relevant for MEMS are identified, and in the next step, biologised questions such as "How does nature make connections between rigid parts on the micrometer length scale?" or "How does nature pump micro- and nanolitre liquid volumes?" are asked. The basic question is "What would nature do?" with respect to issues that arise in 3D-MEMS development. As third step, Nature's best practices are identified, e.g., by screening the relevant literature or by entering a highly inspiring environment with the biologised questions in mind (task-oriented visit to a habitat with high species diversity, e.g. the rain forest or a coral reef). Thereby a compendium of how plants, animals and ecosystems solve the specific challenge is obtained. The fourth and last step of the Biomimicry Innovation Method is to generate process/product ideas from the best practices (90% of which are usually new to engineers).




Figure 1: *Structure dominated micromechanical components (SiO₂ shells of algae).* Left: Zipper-like structure in *Aulacoseira*. Middle: Zoom into the same image. © Duncan Waddell, XTAL Enterprises, Australia. Right: Spring-like structure in *Rutilaria grevilleana*. © R.M. Crawford, AWI Bremerhaven, Germany. Images used with permission.



° click for feedback 🚺

Results and Outlook

The best practices identified are biological micro- and nanostructures in organisms as diverse as algae, horses, Malaysian tropical rainforest understory plants, peacocks, birds, green algae, humans (immune system), adhesive pads in the gecko and in herbivorous insects as well as the mechanical defence strategies of their food (wax crystals). The summary of the results is given in Table 1. MEMS developers interested in including the bioinspired approaches presented in this work have been identified and as next step bioinspired 3D MEMS will be designed and modelled and prototypes will be constructed.

Application of the Biomimicry Innovation Method regarding <i>structure</i> dominated components			
Function	Biologised question:	Nature's best practice	Generated process/
	How does nature		product ideas
Hinges and	mechanically	Diatoms in chains (Eunotia	micromechanical
interlocking	connect hard single	sudetica, Bacillaria paxillifer,	optimization of
devices	cells?	Ellerbeckia sp.) [11][12]	3D-MEMS structure
Click-stop	unfold structures	Corethron pennatum,	obtain 3D structures
mechanism	and then irreversibly	Corethron criophilum [12]	from fabricated 2D
	fix them?		structures
Springs	reversibly store	Rutilaria grevilleana,	Energy storage in
	mechanical energy?	R. philipinnarum [13]	MEMS
Parts connected	provide stability to	Ellerbeckia arenaria [14]	MEMS with
in a chain with	chains in turbulent		moveable parts
adjustable length	environments?		
Movable rigid	optimize moveable	Melosira sp., Ellerbeckia	3D MEMS with
parts	parts?	arenaria [14]	moveable parts
Pumps	move fluids?	Rutilaria grevilleana,	micropumps for
		Rutilaria philipinnarum [15]	lab-on-a-chip
Unfoldable	generate 3D	Corethron pennatum,	obtain 3D structures
structures	structures from rigid	Corethron criophilum [12]	from fabricated 2D
	parts?		structures
Energy	dissipate	Solium exsculptum [16][17]	3D-MEMS
dissipation	mechanical energy?		
Fracture control,	mechanically	<i>Equus ferus caballus</i> [18][19]	quality assurance of
Crack redirection	protect viable parts?		MEMS
Lubrication	prevent wear?	Unknown diatom species [20]	preventing stiction
Stability	mechanically	Solium exsculptum [16][17]	quality assurance of
(reinforcement)	protect viable parts?		MEMS
Surface texturing	structure surfaces?	Solium exsculptum [16][17]	MEMS
Photoprotective	protect photo-	Begonia sp., Diplazium sp.,	MEMS
coating	sensitive plants?	Phyllagathis rotundifolia [21]	
Photonic	make colours	Peacock, butterfly scales,	photonic micro- and
components	without pigments?	iridescent plants, fruits, birds	nanodevices,
		and mammals [11][21][22][23]	MEMS
Pressure resistant	deal with high	<i>Euglena gracilis</i> pellicle [24]	lab-on-a-chip
containers	pressures?		
Fixation	mechanically fix	Corethron pennatum,	3D-MEMS,
	structures?	Corethron criophilum [12]	lab-on-a-chip
Selective,	reversibly adhere	Immune system [16][25][26]	lab-on-a-chip
switchable	to structures?	[27][28], gecko foot [29][30],	devices, reusable:
adhesion		1 1 1 1 1 1	
aditorion		insect attachment pads [31],	trap, test and release



References

- [1] B. Bhushan: Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A Vol. 367 (2009), p. 1445
- [2] Y. Bar-Cohen: Biomimetics: Biologically Inspired Technologies, CRC Press (2005)
- [3] I.C. Gebeshuber and M. Drack: J. Mech. Eng. Sci. Vol. 222 (2008), 222, p. 1281
- [4] I.C. Gebeshuber, A. Pauschitz and F. Franek: in: Proc. 2006 IEEE Conference on Emerging Technologies Nanoelectronics (2006), p. 396
- [5] I.C. Gebeshuber, B.Y. Majlis, L. Neutsch, F. Aumayr and F. Gabor: in: Proc. 3rd Vienna Int. Conf. Micro. Nanotech. - Viennano09, edited by W.J. Bartz and F. Franek, (2009), p. 17
- [6] R. Lakes: Nature Vol. 361 (1993), p. 511
- [7] P. Fratzl: J. R. Soc. Interface Vol. 4(15) (2007), p. 637
- [8] J.F.V. Vincent: J. Theor. Biol. Vol. 236 (2005), p. 73
- [9] J. Vincent: Submitted to J. Eng. Med.
- [10] G. Jeronimidis and A.G. Atkins: J. Mech. Eng. Sci. Vol. 209 (1995), p. 221
- [11] F.E. Round, R.M. Crawford and D.G. Mann: *The diatoms: biology and morphology of the genera* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1990)
- [12] I.C. Gebeshuber and R.M. Crawford: J. Eng. Tribol. Vol. 220(J8) (2006), p. 787
- [13] R.M. Crawford and P.A. Sims: Nova Hedwigia, Beiheft Vol. 133 (2007), p. 171
- [14] I.C. Gebeshuber, H. Stachelberger and M. Drack: J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol. Vol. 5 (2005), p. 79
- [15] J.Srajer, B.Y. Majlis and I.C. Gebeshuber: Acta Botanica Croatia, in press
- [16] I.C. Gebeshuber: Nano Today Vol. 2(5) (2007), p. 30
- [17] I.C: Gebeshuber, M. Aumayr, O. Hekele, R. Sommer, C.G. Goesselsberger, C. Gruenberger, P. Gruber, E. Borowan, A. Rosic and F. Aumayr: in: Bio-Inspired Nanomaterials and Nanotechnology (tentative title), edited by Yong Zhou, Nova Science Publishers 2009, in press
- [18] M.A. Kasapi and J.M. Gosline: J. Exp. Biol. Vol. 200 (1997), p. 1639
- [19] M.A. Kasapi and J.M. Gosline: J. Exp. Biol. Vol. 202 (1999), p. 377
- [20] I.C. Gebeshuber, J.H. Kindt, J.B. Thompson, Y. Del Amo, H. Stachelberger, M. Brzezinski, G.D. Stucky, D.E. Morse and P.K. Hansma: J. Microsc. 212(Pt3) (2003), p. 292
- [21] K.S. Gould and D.W. Lee: Am. J. Bot. Vol. 83(1) (1996), p. 45
- [22] P. Vukusic and J.R. Sambles: Nature Vol. 424 (2003), p. 852
- [23] D.W. Lee, G.T. Taylor and A.K. Irvine: Int J. Plant Sci. Vol. 161(2) (2000), p. 297
- [24] C. Gruenberger, R. Ritter, F. Aumayr, H. Stachelberger and I.C. Gebeshuber: Mat. Sci. Forum Vol. 555 (2007), p. 411
- [25] I.C. Gebeshuber, M. Drack and M. Scherge: Tribology Mat. Surf. Interf., in press
- [26] D.F. Tees and D.J. Goetz: News Physiol Sci. Vol. 18 (2003), p. 186
- [27] C.E. Orsello, D.A. Lauffenburger and D.A. Hammer: Trends Biotechnol. Vol. 19 (2001), p. 3107
- [28] E. Kawasaki and A. Player: Nanomed. Nanotech. Biol. Med. Vol. 1(2) (2005), p. 101
- [29] K. Autumn, Y.A. Liang, S.T. Hsieh, W. Zesch, W.P. Chan, T.W. Kenny, R. Fearing and R.J. Full: Nature Vol. 405 (2000), p. 681
- [30] US Patent 7056409 Structures, systems and methods for joining articles and materials and uses therefore
- [31] M. Scherge and S. Gorb: *Biological Micro- and Nanotribology Nature's solutions* (Springer Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2001)
- [32] E. Gorb, K. Haas, A. Henrich, S. Enders, N. Barbakadze and S. Gorb: J. Exp. Biol. Vol. 208 (2005), p. 4651



269

NEMS/MEMS Technology and Devices

doi:10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMR.74

Exploring the Innovational Potential of Biomimetics for Novel 3D MEMS doi:10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMR.74.265

References

[1] B. Bhushan: Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A Vol. 367 (2009), p. 1445 doi:10.1098/rsta.2009.0011

[2] Y. Bar-Cohen: Biomimetics: Biologically Inspired Technologies, CRC Press (2005)

[3] I.C. Gebeshuber and M. Drack: J. Mech. Eng. Sci. Vol. 222 (2008), 222, p. 1281

[4] I.C. Gebeshuber, A. Pauschitz and F. Franek: in: Proc. 2006 IEEE Conference on Emerging Technologies - Nanoelectronics (2006), p. 396

[5] I.C. Gebeshuber, B.Y. Majlis, L. Neutsch, F. Aumayr and F. Gabor: in: Proc. 3rd Vienna Int. Conf. Micro. Nanotech. - Viennano09, edited by W.J. Bartz and F. Franek, (2009), p. 17

[6] R. Lakes: Nature Vol. 361 (1993), p. 511 doi:10.1038/361511a0

 [7] P. Fratzl: J. R. Soc. Interface Vol. 4(15) (2007), p. 637 doi:10.1098/rsif.2007.0218
 PMCid:2373394

[8] J.F.V. Vincent: J. Theor. Biol. Vol. 236 (2005), p. 73
 doi:10.1016/j.jtbi.2005.02.017
 PMid:15967184

[9] J. Vincent: Submitted to J. Eng. Med.

[10] G. Jeronimidis and A.G. Atkins: J. Mech. Eng. Sci. Vol. 209 (1995), p. 221

[11] F.E. Round, R.M. Crawford and D.G. Mann: The diatoms: biology and morphology of the genera (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1990)

[12] I.C. Gebeshuber and R.M. Crawford: J. Eng. Tribol. Vol. 220(J8) (2006), p. 787

[13] R.M. Crawford and P.A. Sims: Nova Hedwigia, Beiheft Vol. 133 (2007), p. 171

[14] I.C. Gebeshuber, H. Stachelberger and M. Drack: J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol. Vol. 5 (2005), p. 79doi:10.1166/jnn.2005.018PMid:15762164



[15] J.Srajer, B.Y. Majlis and I.C. Gebeshuber: Acta Botanica Croatia, in press

[16] I.C. Gebeshuber: Nano Today Vol. 2(5) (2007), p. 30 doi:10.1016/S1748-0132(07)70141-X

[17] I.C: Gebeshuber, M. Aumayr, O. Hekele, R. Sommer, C.G. Goesselsberger, C. Gruenberger, P. Gruber, E. Borowan, A. Rosic and F. Aumayr: in: Bio-Inspired Nanomaterials and Nanotechnology (tentative title), edited by Yong Zhou, Nova Science Publishers 2009, in press

[18] M.A. Kasapi and J.M. Gosline: J. Exp. Biol. Vol. 200 (1997), p. 1639

[19] M.A. Kasapi and J.M. Gosline: J. Exp. Biol. Vol. 202 (1999), p. 377

[20] I.C. Gebeshuber, J.H. Kindt, J.B. Thompson, Y. Del Amo, H. Stachelberger, M.
Brzezinski, G.D. Stucky, D.E. Morse and P.K. Hansma: J. Microsc. 212(Pt3) (2003), p. 292 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2818.2003.01275.x
PMid:14629555

[21] K.S. Gould and D.W. Lee: Am. J. Bot. Vol. 83(1) (1996), p. 45 doi:10.2307/2445952

[22] P. Vukusic and J.R. Sambles: Nature Vol. 424 (2003), p. 852 doi:10.1038/nature01941PMid:12917700

[23] D.W. Lee, G.T. Taylor and A.K. Irvine: Int J. Plant Sci. Vol. 161(2) (2000), p. 297
 doi:10.1086/314249
 PMid:10777454

[24] C. Gruenberger, R. Ritter, F. Aumayr, H. Stachelberger and I.C. Gebeshuber: Mat. Sci. Forum Vol. 555 (2007), p. 411 doi:10.4028/www.scientific.net/MSF.555.411

[25] I.C. Gebeshuber, M. Drack and M. Scherge: Tribology Mat. Surf. Interf., in press

[26] D.F. Tees and D.J. Goetz: News Physiol Sci. Vol. 18 (2003), p. 186

[27] C.E. Orsello, D.A. Lauffenburger and D.A. Hammer: Trends Biotechnol. Vol. 19 (2001),
p. 3107
doi:10.1016/S0167-7799(01)01692-4
PMid:11451473

[28] E. Kawasaki and A. Player: Nanomed. Nanotech. Biol. Med. Vol. 1(2) (2005), p. 101 doi:10.1016/j.nano.2005.03.002

[29] K. Autumn, Y.A. Liang, S.T. Hsieh, W. Zesch, W.P. Chan, T.W. Kenny, R. Fearing and R.J. Full: Nature Vol. 405 (2000), p. 681 doi:10.1038/35015073
PMid:10864324

[30] US Patent 7056409 - Structures, systems and methods for joining articles and materials and uses therefore

[31] M. Scherge and S. Gorb: Biological Micro- and Nanotribology – Nature's solutions (Springer Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2001)

[32] E. Gorb, K. Haas, A. Henrich, S. Enders, N. Barbakadze and S. Gorb: J. Exp. Biol. Vol. 208 (2005), p. 4651
doi:10.1242/jeb.01939
PMid:16326946